arXiv:1609.08427v1 [physics.gen-ph] 19 Sep 2016

Quantum Mechanics and Common Sense

S.V.Gantsevich

Ioffe Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences,

194021 Saint Petersburg, Russia, e-mail: sergei.elur@mail.ioffe.ru

A physical picture for Quantum Mechanics which permits to conciliate it with the usual common sense is proposed. The picture agrees with the canonical Copenhagen interpretation making more clear its statements.

Pacs: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ud

It is generally accepted that Quantum Mechanics is "counterintuitive" or, simply speaking, it contradicts our ordinary common sense based on everyday experience [1–5].

Is this situation a peculiar feature of Quantum Mechanics?

The answer is NO.

The science history tells us that this situation is rather a rule than an exception. There were always puzzles and mysteries in Science. But after some time (years, tens of years or even centuries) they vanished or transformed into trivialities of no mention.

Such lot is inevitable also for Quantum Mechanics.

It is important to notice that in all cases of former mysteries their origin was always the *wrong picture of observed phenomena.* And this wrong picture always seemed so *natural and self-obvious* that any doubt of its validity never arouse. When such invisible wall preventing the adequate understanding was broken in some or another way all mysteries and puzzles vanished and became fully forgotten.

It is reasonable to conclude that such *wrong physical picture exists in Quantum Mechanics* and just this is the cause of all difficulties. Thus the question is what is wrong and how to repair the situation. The answer is very simple but quite unexpected.

The Founders of QM and their followers frequently used to say that one should reject habitual concepts of the pre-quantum era and rely entirely on the mathematics and logics [6–11]. However, at one point they did exactly opposite and carefully preserved such concept though QM formulae and logics were against it. Unfortunately it was just the point which made impossible any simple and reasonable treatment of quantum phenomena.

What is this unfortunate point? Let us see.

The world around us is classical. It consists of observed or measured physical quantities. We have also strict quantum mechanical rules for the calculations of these quantities. So far there were no contradictions with these rules and experiments. Therefore logically we should regard the QM formula for the observable quantity as the center of our physical considerations. For one quantum object this formula has the form:

$$\bar{c} = \langle\psi|C|\psi\rangle \equiv \int_V d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'\psi\dagger(\mathbf{r}',t)C(\mathbf{r}'|\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r},t) \tag{1}$$

Here $C \equiv C(\mathbf{r}'|\mathbf{r})$ is the operator of an observable quantity. It determines the values of the physical quantity in our world. Two other components are the wave function $\psi \equiv |\psi\rangle$ and the complex conjugated wave function $\psi^\dagger \equiv \langle\psi|$. Thus it seems that the wave function ψ determines the state of the quantum object and we should take it as the basis of our physical picture. This approach to the interpretation of quantum phenomena looks quite natural and self-obvious. All QM books and papers accept it. Nevertheless it is wrong and leads to an impasse. The expression (1) contains *two different quantum entities* ψ and $\psi^\dagger$. And just these two entities determine the quantum state and (together with the operator C) the physical quantities that we observe in our world. A single ψ as well as a single $\psi^\dagger$ are unobservable in our world. They are the elements of Quantum World and appear in our

Classical World only by pairs. Thus a classical device is necessary for a measurement in QM as is rightly stated in the Copenhagen interpretation. Without it we shall see nothing.

The peculiarity of Quantum Mechanics is the *linearity of equations for the wave functions* and the *bi-linearity of observable physical quantities*. This fact leads to the apparition of two different types of physical states.

Let us take, for simplicity, $C(\mathbf{r}'|\mathbf{r}) = U(\mathbf{r})\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$ and $U(\mathbf{r}) = \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})$. Then we get from (1):

$$\overline{U} = \langle\psi|U|\psi\rangle \equiv \int_V d\mathbf{r}\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r},t)U(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = |\psi(\mathbf{R},t)|^2 \tag{2}$$

It is convenient to call $\psi^\dagger$ and ψ as the bra and ket functions or simply the *bra* and *ket*.

Let us emphasize once more that the bra and ket in (1) or (2) are independent quantities. They have generally different space and time coordinates and their independent time evolutions are governed by the separate equations of motion ($t \geq 0$):

$$(\partial_t + iH)\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \psi(\mathbf{r})\delta(t) \tag{3}$$
$$\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{\partial_t + iH}\psi(\mathbf{r})\delta(t) = e^{-iHt}\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

The analogous formulae govern the evolution of the bra-function $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r},t)$.

The initial values $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ and $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}')$ can be represented as the sums of the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian $H\psi_p = \epsilon_p\psi_p$. The space forms of $\psi_p^\dagger$ and ψ_p remain unchanged and only their phases vary with time:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_p a_p e^{-i\epsilon_p t}\psi_p(\mathbf{r}) \tag{4}$$

Substituting $\psi^\dagger$ and ψ into (2) we get:

$$|\psi(\mathbf{R},t)|^2 = \sum_{p'p} a_{p'}^\dagger a_p e^{i[(\epsilon_{p'}-\epsilon_p)t]}\psi_{p'}^\dagger(\mathbf{R})\psi_p(\mathbf{R}) = \tag{5}$$
$$= \sum_p |a_p|^2|\psi_p(\mathbf{R})|^2 + \sum_{p'\neq p} a_{p'}^\dagger a_p e^{i[(\epsilon_{p'}-\epsilon_p)t]}\psi_{p'}^\dagger(\mathbf{R})\psi_p(\mathbf{R})$$

Suppose that the eigenfunctions of H are orthogonal and normalized. Then after the integration over all space only the diagonal ($p = p'$) part of (5) survives:

$$\int_V |\psi(\mathbf{R},t)|^2 d\mathbf{R} = \sum_p |a_p|^2 \equiv \sum_p F_p \tag{6}$$

The quantity F_p is the occupancy number of the state p. It gives the probability to find a quantum particle in the given state.

It is important that the occupancy number F_p is formed by *two entities - one bra and one ket* with the same quantum indices. It does not depend on the initial phase as well as on the phase acquired during the time evolution:

$$F_p(t) = a_p^\dagger e^{i\epsilon_p t}a_p e^{-i\epsilon_p t} = |a_p|^2 \equiv F_p \tag{7}$$

Now consider the non-diagonal elements ($p \neq p'$) of the sum (5), (for brevity $\mathbf{R} \equiv x$):

$$\sum_{p'\neq p}\langle p'|U|p\rangle = \sum_{p'\neq p} a_{p'}^\dagger a_p e^{i[(\epsilon_{p'}-\epsilon_p)t}\psi_{p'}^\dagger(x)\psi_p(x) \tag{8}$$

Unlike the expression (7) all terms of this expression are phase dependent. They vanish after the averaging over phases:

$$\sum_{p'\neq p}|a_{p'}^\dagger a_p||\psi_{p'}^\dagger(x)\psi_p(x)|\overline{e^{i(\varphi_p-\varphi_{p'})}} = 0 \tag{9}$$

The phase differences in (9) may be generally regarded as random quantities, so we come to the following probability rules for the pure ($p = p'$) and mixed ($p \neq p'$) quantum states. For pure states we have the Born rule:

$$w_p(x) = |\psi_p(x)|^2 F_p \tag{10}$$

The contribution of one mixed state in (8) is not real since it is proportional to $exp(i\phi)$ where $\phi = \varphi_p(x,t) - \varphi_{p'}(x,t)$ is the phase difference between the bra and ket at time t in the space point x. The sum $\langle p|U|p'\rangle + \langle p'|U|p\rangle$ is real so we can use it to generalize the Born rule for mixed quantum states splitting $\cos\phi$ into two positive parts:

$$\cos\phi = \cos^2(\phi/2) - \sin^2(\phi/2) = P - Q \tag{11}$$

We can regard P as the probability of positive result and Q as the probability of negative result with $P + Q = 1$.

The expressions (10) and (11) show that pure and mixed states play different roles when their bra and ket meet and appear in our world. The "pure" (bra+ket) pairs are phase independent and always give the same result. The "mixed" pairs are phases dependent and give results of different signs. For arbitrary phase difference their contributions vanish in average:

$$\overline{\cos\phi} = \overline{\cos^2(\phi/2)} - \overline{\sin^2(\phi/2)} = 1/2 - 1/2 = 0 \tag{12}$$

The pure (bra+ket) pairs create a time-independent background while the mixed (bra+ket) pairs create fluctuations over this background.

Because of this it is reasonable to take the set of pure pairs (bra+ket) with their occupancy numbers as the initial state of a quantum system. A perturbation can produce mixed pairs from initial pure pairs, e.g. the pure pairs $\psi_p^\dagger\psi_p$ and $\psi_{p'}^\dagger\psi_{p'}$ can become the mixed pairs $\psi_{p'}^\dagger\psi_p$ and $\psi_p^\dagger\psi_{p'}$. These mixed pairs arise also after the bra or ket exchange between two occupied states F_p and $F_{p'}$ without any perturbation. Note that two mixed pairs created by the exchange are phase correlated since they have equal and sign-opposite phases. The observed quantum particles represented by such pairs are just the mysterious entangled quantum particles which are so popular nowadays. Their magic property is simply the phase correlation. They have no mysterious links over the entire Universe though indeed their mutual phase correlation may hold rather long during their unperturbed evolution.

To avoid any misunderstanding let us emphasize that any action on one of such phase correlated particles cannot in any way influence its correlated partner.

Now as an example let us consider the plane wave states with the momenta p and p'. We have for the position operator $U(x)$:

$$U(x,t) = F_p + F_{p'} + 2\sqrt{F_{p'}F_p}\cos[(p-p')x + (\epsilon_{p'} - \epsilon_p)t + (\varphi_p - \varphi_{p'})] \tag{13}$$

This expression describes the constant background and the time and space dependent fluctuations. The fluctuation arises when the bra of one state meets the ket of other state.

We can rewrite (13) as a traveling fluctuation wave over the background:

$$U(x,t)/2 = \frac{F_p + F_{p'}}{2} + \sqrt{F_{p'}F_p}\cos(qx - \omega t + \phi) \tag{14}$$

Here $q = p - p'$ is the wave vector and $\omega = \epsilon_p - \epsilon_{p'}$ is the wave frequency and $\phi = \varphi_p - \varphi_{p'}$ is the wave initial phase.

For small values of the momentum differences taken as $q \to \hbar q$ with $\hbar \to 0$ we get

$$U(x,t)/2 \simeq F_p[1 + \cos(qx - qvt)] \tag{15}$$

Here $v = \partial\epsilon/\partial p$ and $\omega \simeq qv$. Now the wave vector q and the momentum p become independent quantities. Summing (15) over q we get from it the classical trajectory of the bra+ket

pair with momentum p and the velocity v. Restoring the vector indices we can write the probability to find this pair as:

$$W(\mathbf{R},t)=\sum_q \cos[\mathbf{qR}-\mathbf{qv}t)]=\delta(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{v}t) \tag{16}$$

This expression show that the so-called quantum particles that we see e.g. in the Wilson camera or in a photo-plate are really *classical particles*. They are the (bra+ket) pairs moving together and therefore visible. Such pairs are described by classical distribution functions or by Wigner functions constructed from the bra and ket functions.

There are two languages in QM, the wave language and the corpuscular language. They should be equivalent so we can realize the bra and ket equally either as waves or as corpuscles. A wave has a phase, so it is necessary to ascribe phases also to these bra or ket corpuscles. One may imagine them as the "messengers with clocks" used in [12,13] for the numerical simulation of quantum phenomena. Actually are these bra or ket waves or corpuscles is the detail of secondary importance. It is crucial only that *two quantum entities are necessary to get an observable quantity in our Classical World*. Note also that two independent quantities of Quantum World (i.e. the bra and ket) correspond just to two independent quantities of Classical World namely an observed quantity and its time derivative.

Since the bra and ket taken alone are undetectable (invisible) in our world *they do not belong to it*. Only their encounter (described by corresponding QM expressions) makes them detectable (visible) and corresponds to the measurement or, better say, to their appearance in our world as *classical objects*.

Let us repeat once more that the actual Quantum World is the invisible world of separate bra and ket. They move independently and reveal themselves only after the mutual encounter. Our Classical World is the world of pairs (bra+ket) in the same state or in the close states moving together and looking as classical objects. This is the right physical picture for QM which follows logically from its mathematics.

Suppose that we see a point on a screen or photo-plate which appeared during an experiment with a quantum particle. This point definitely belongs to our classical world. In classical world this point was the point in its previous life as a point-like classical particle. However, there are no reasons to think that it is so in the quantum world. We see the point after the measurement and can say nothing what was before. To say that the point was also the point before the measurement represents (according to QM principles!) an illicit extrapolation based on nothing. Of course, this extrapolation was so natural and self-obvious that it was accepted explicitly or implicitly by the creators of QM and by their followers. And despite the fact that the QM mathematics describing the experiment contains two separate entities, one bra and one ket with their own space and time coordinates! According to QM rules we cannot detect them separately but only jointly when they enter in the detector device in order to appear in our classical world. The adequate physical picture of quantum phenomena should necessary be based on the pair (bra+ket moving together) in our classical world and on the pair (bra and ket as separate entities) in the quantum world.

In the bra-ket picture the usual QM puzzles and mysteries evaporated. Of course, instead of the present so popular "intriguing and fascinating features of quantum world" many new unclear questions will inevitably arise but they will not have such impasse character. A picture which is fundamentally wrong inevitably leads to the impasse with no outcome. On the contrary a basically right picture can be improved and ameliorated rather easily.

The bra-ket physical picture has a classical analogy. In a gas of classical particles with pair collisions there is a phenomenon known as the "long tails" of the response. This long range and long living correlation is created by the repeated collisions between two classical particles. The first collision creates the correlation while the second collision takes it into account. In a wave language the correlation is described by pairs of correlated diffusion modes which represent the correlated pairs of gas particles [14–16]. The gas remains spatially homogenous and only pairs of diffusion modes are observable on a large time and space scale. The kinetics of this phenomenon has many analogies with QM.

One says usually that a quantum object being not a particle and not a wave is a "wavicle" totally inaccessible to our human imagination. We see that the mysterious "wavicle" may be reasonably interpreted as a pair of two objects. The QM expressions for observable quantities look exactly as the correlation formulae for just two independent variables (with some weight). Therefore, one may regard quantum mechanics as a kind of correlation statistics of random events [17]. The picture of bra-ket pairs is in agreement with such point of view provided that the random events are the encounters of these bra and ket and the random quantities are their phases. The phases may be regarded as the hidden variables of Quantum Mechanics.

We saw above how the so-called measurement problem vanished. Also the great mystery of wavicle self-interference reduces to triviality. A point cannot be in one time in two places but a bra corpuscle and a ket corpuscle (i.e. the wavicle) can do it quite easily. They can go through two slits or through only one slit. The knowledge that the wavicle went through one slit means simply that its bra and ket were there and therefore the interference is absent by definition. For two wavicles one can detect them in both slits and nevertheless observe the interference due to the possible bra-bra or ket-ket exchange between two wavicles. This exchange mechanism is also the origin of the mysterious quantum correlation at large distances known as the EPR-paradox and the violation of the Bell inequality. Using the bra-ket picture one can easily explain it [18] in a local way by the "common cause in the past" as it should be in all one-time correlation phenomena.

In this picture it is possible also to get simple and reasonable answers on a number of other questions including those that "one cannot asks".

---

[1] A.Zeilinger, Rev.Mod.Phys., **71**, S288,(1999).

[2] R.Omnes, Rev.Mod.Phys., **64**, 339, (2002).

[3] W.H.Zurek, Rev.Mod.Phys., **75**, 715-775,(2003).

[4] M.Schlosshauser, Rev.Mod.Phys., **76**, 1268-1303, (2004).

[5] A.Peres, Rev.Mod.Phys., 76, 93, (2004)

[6] N.Bohr, "Atomic Theory and the Description of Nature", London, (1934).

[7] M.Born, "Atomic Physics", London-Glasgow, (1963).

[8] W.Heisenberg, in the essays "Niels Bohr and the development of Physics" , ed.W.Pauli, L.Rosenfeld, V.Weisscopf, London, Pergamon Press LTD, (1955)

[9] L.de Broglie, "L'Electron Magnetique", Paris, Herman ed.,(1934).

[10] E.Schroedinger, Proc.Cambridge Phil. Soc. 31, 555, (1935).

[11] D.Bohm, "Quantum Theory" (1956).

[12] H. De Raedt and K. Michielsen, Ann. Phys.(Berlin) 524, 393 410 (2012)..

[13] H. De Raedt, K. De Raedt, K. Michielsen, K. Keimpema, and S. Miyashita, J.Comp.Theor.Nanosci. 4, 957 991 (2007).

[14] B.Alder, T.Wainwright, Phys.Rev.Lett., **18**, 968, (1967).

[15] A.Onuki, J.Stat.Phys., **18**, 475, (1978).

[16] S.V.Gantsevich, V.D.Kagan, R.Katilius, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **84**, 2082 (1983), [Sov.Phys.JETP **57**, 1212 (1983)].

[17] F.Bopp, Zs.Naturforsch.,**2a**, 202, (1947);**7a**, 82, (1952);**8a**, 6, (1953).

[18] S.V.Gantsevich, V.L.Gurevich, arXiv [quant-ph], 1512.03672, (2015).